confusing to customers, and most beneficial in the duration of relief it would provide.

Under this plan, Manhattan would be divided north from south along the center median of 23rd Street: all telephone numbers south of this line would retain the 212 area code and all telephone numbers on the north side would be assigned to the new 646 area code (this would minimize disruption in lower Manhattan where information and telecommunications intensive financial service centers are located). Twenty-third Street was chosen as the boundary because it is a major crosstown thoroughfare, results in approximately half of all current telephone numbers being assigned to each side of the geographic divider (thereby increasing the duration of relief), and minimizes the number of "pocket customers" who might have to incur seven digit local telephone number changes because their serving central office is located on the other side of the dividing line. (The "pocket customer" problem could be eliminated entirely by dividing the area along central office boundaries. Those lines are not well known, however, and using them would compromise, to an unacceptable degree, the public interest in a clear, readily identifiable boundary between the new NPAs.) Appendix 2 provides a graphic depiction of the 23rd Street dividing line and the "pocket" areas.

For these reasons, the 23rd Street alternative is clearly superior to any of the geographic splits examined by NYT.

To provide relief in the existing 718 area code in 1999 or 2000, Brooklyn and Staten Island telephone numbers would be separated from Oueens and Bronx telephone numbers; all telephone numbers on one side of this line (probably Queens and the Bronx because fewer customers would be forced to change their area code and because Bronx customers experienced a change in their area code more recently) would retain the 718 area code and all telephone numbers on the other side would be assigned the new 347 area code. Like 23rd Street, the Brooklyn/Queens boundary was chosen because it is generally recognizable and places roughly half of all telephone numbers in the current NPA on each side of the new geographic divider. Similar, somewhat more complicated, "pocket customer" situations exist along the Brooklyn/Queens boundary, for it appears that some fairly large segments of certain neighborhoods such as Greenpoint, Ridgewood, Cypress Hills, and Woodhaven might have to endure seven digit local telephone number changes. Appendix 3 provides a graphic depiction of the split of Brooklyn and Staten Island from Queens and the Bronx and the "pocket" areas.1

In many ways, the advantages and disadvantages of the geographic split are the mirror images of those of the overlay.

Nevertheless, they are separately discussed below.

The identification of the exact boundaries of the "pocket" areas is ongoing.

#### Advantages of the Geographic Split

The geographic split would retain the familiar identification between a designated locale and a single area code, thereby avoiding the potential confusion associated with multiple area codes in a single neighborhood, building, or even household or business. While the 917 code has familiarized the public to a degree with the concept of an overlay, the public recognizes that the code is used for only a particular type of service and might still be confused by an overlay that applies to all forms of service.

In addition, a geographic split would avoid any need to dial 11-digits for home NPA calls; such calls could continue to be dialed on a 7-digit basis unless 11-digit dialing were universally introduced on a national level.

New York City customers are already familiar with geographic splits as Brooklyn, Queens and Staten Island were split from the 212 NPA in 1985 and the Bronx was split from the 212 NPA more recently (1992).

Finally, a geographic split avoids any risk of anticompetitive effects associated with disproportionate assignment
of telephone numbers in the new NPA to customers of new market
entrants. The local service provider chosen by a customer would
have no effect on the customer's telephone number or dialing
patterns.

As noted, current FCC rules forbid the establishment of new service-specific area codes.

#### Disadvantages of the Geographic Split and Mitigating Factors

A geographic split would require approximately 1.1 million Manhattan subscribers north of 23rd Street and 1.4 million customers in Brooklyn and Staten Island to adopt new area codes. These forced area code changes would require thousands of businesses to incur potentially significant expenses to change printed materials and advertising displays and to inform suppliers and customers of the change. Residential customers might also incur some similar expenses and, in any case, would be inconvenienced.

Approximately 70,000 "pocket customers" would be more severely affected, for they might be required to change their seven-digit local telephone numbers. The expenses of making these changes could be significant and detrimental to the business community in these "pocket" areas.

Callers, particularly those from outside of New York
City, could be confused about what side of the line the party
they want to call is on. While 23rd Street is a major east/west
thoroughfare known to most New Yorkers, it may not be clearly
recognizable to outsiders, and even New Yorkers might not know if
a particular address, such as 500 Fifth Avenue, was north or
south of 23rd Street. This concern is mitigated, however, by the
recognition that telephone directories and directory assistance
would specify the area code as well as the seven-digit number.

Because of New York City's small geographic area, there might well be no reasonable way to further divide New York City into geographically-based area codes when supplies of numbers run out again. This concern, however, is diminished by the recognition that even if a split is adopted now, an overlay could be used the next time around, by which time technological changes (such as Local Number Portability) would have likely resolved the concerns that have been raised about the overlay's effects on competition.

Geographic splits will inevitably exhaust sooner than overlays because a split will provide the same relief as an overlay only if growth is equal on both sides of the line and it is impossible to project with total accuracy where future telephone number demand will occur. The Manhattan overlay is projected to provide slightly more than 6.5 years of relief while the 23rd Street geographic split would provide approximately 5.0 years of relief in the northern portion. In the other boroughs, the overlay would provide 13.0 years of relief while the geographic split would provide approximately 10.5 years of relief in Queens and the Bronx. Unbalanced (as to future growth) geographic splits have caused premature NPA exhaust in other states. For example, the former 404 NPA in Atlanta, Georgia was geographically split along the Atlanta city line in January 1995 and the new 770 NPA was projected to last for about eight years. As it turned out, most of the demand for new telephone numbers occurred in the Atlanta suburbs and the 770 NPA assigned to these

suburbs is now projected to exhaust early in 1998. Accordingly, the Georgia PSC is considering implementing an overlay of both the 404 and 770 NPAs.

The value of Local Number Portability (LNP) would be significantly diminished under a geographic split, for numbers would only be portable within the new smaller NPAs.<sup>1</sup>

#### CONSUMER OUTREACH AND EDUCATION

During the course of the proceeding, staff has conducted a comprehensive public information and involvement program. Our objective has been to inform the affected customers of the need for new area codes in New York City and to receive feedback on customers' preferences as between a geographic split and an overlay.

Staff initiated and conducted presentations at Community Boards and to other community groups throughout the City. In addition, staff participated in six meetings of community and small business leaders sponsored by NYT. Staff provided information at two large expositions in New York City, the Getting Down to Business Fair and the Black Expo. Two Consumer Alerts, describing the NYT proposal, have been developed and widely distributed throughout New York City, via the five borough presidents, every Community Board and all public library branches in the city. Finally, staff has publicized the availability of the agency's toll-free Opinion Line and the Web

Local Number Portability plans currently envision portability only within an area code.

Customer Comment Forum address as a means for consumers to access the agency with their comments, suggestions and preferences.

A large majority of persons who expressed preferences at public events and through the Opinion Line favored the overlay. The overlay choice was largely based on the desire of most current customers to retain their existing area code. Those who favored the split felt that an area code should define a particular geographic part of Manhattan. There also were repeated calls for the Commission to take the lead in the future in developing a long-term solution to area code exhaust. Finally, people stressed the need for a comprehensive consumer education and advertising campaign and for a long permissive dialing period after a decision is made.

Staff has scheduled additional informational forums prior to the six public statement hearings to be held in the five boroughs during the weeks of July 21st and July 28th.

#### CONCLUSION

This paper presents staff's tentative conclusions that area code relief in New York City should be provided by an overlay and that, if a geographic split is adopted instead, the line in Manhattan should divide north from south along 23rd Street and insofar as the other four boroughs are concerned, Brooklyn and Staten Island would need to be separated from Queens and the Bronx. Staff favors the overlay because it appears to provide greater relief with less disruption and inconvenience, and its potential adverse affects on competition appear subject

to adequate mitigation. Either measure, of course, would have to be introduced by an extensive and comprehensive program of public education designed to make the transition as smooth and convenient as possible.

As noted, public statement hearings and educational forums have already been scheduled, and we anticipate that further comment on this paper will be invited. The results of those processes will be reflected in the recommendations to be presented to the Commission.

ATTACHMENT B

PLEASE NOTE: The code exhaust data in this excerpt are as of the end of 1996 and have been superseded.

#### BACKGROUND

The North American Numbering Plan (NANP) serves the United States, Canada, Puerto Rico, Bermuda, the Bahamas, and most of the English-speaking Caribbean countries (North America is also known as World Zone 1). Each telephone line is assigned a ten-digit number consisting of a three digit area code, a three digit central office code, and a four digit station number. For example, the Consumer Services Division's help line number for out-of-state callers is (212) 290-4171 which consists of the:

212	290	4171
area code	central	station
	office code	number

Each central office code has a theoretical capacity of 10,000 station numbers (i.e., 0000 through 9999). However, only approximately 9,500 of these can actually be assigned as working telephone numbers at any time, because about 500 station numbers per central office code are needed for test purposes and to provide intercept for customers who move or otherwise disconnect their services. When all available station numbers in a central office code are assigned to customers or are otherwise in use, a new central office code must be assigned to the service area from the pool of central office codes unassigned in that area code.

The availability of central office codes is affected by: previous central office code assignments, requirements for

special access and service codes, and various necessary functions such as plant testing and the provision of repair and emergency Theoretically, 1,000 central office codes (i.e., all numbers between 000 and 999) might be expected to be available for assignment within an area code. However, none of the 200 numbers between 000 and 199 may be used for central office codes as the telephone switching equipment currently in use recognizes all numbers beginning with "0" or "1" as operator or long distance calls, respectively. In addition, approximately 40 special access and company administrative codes and several other codes (primarily those such as 718 and 201 codes which are assigned as area codes in surrounding areas) are not assigned as central office codes in New York City. Thus, there are only about 760 assignable central office codes per area code in New York City. Thus, in the New York City area code 212, a maximum of 7.2 million telephone numbers (9,500 telephone numbers per central office code x 760 codes) are available for assignment. In actuality, codes cannot be used to their fullest capacity because of demand for telephone service in different areas of Manhattan, disconnects of service and the need to assign central office codes to competing local exchange carriers, etc.

The NANP was first introduced in 1951. At that time, the 212 area code served all five Boroughs of New York City. The 212 code had provided New York City with an adequate supply of telephone numbers for about thirty years. However, the demand for telephone numbers began to increase rapidly during the 1970's, and the number of unassigned central office codes decreased quickly, placing the 212 area code in jeopardy. In order to make additional central office codes available as the supply dwindled, New York Telephone introduced interchangeable central office codes in the 212 area code during 1980. This

Use of interchangeable central office codes provided additional central office codes in the 212 area code of a type similar in format to area codes (i.e., where the second digit of the code is zero or one). Equipment modifications were necessary to allow this as the

change made 152 additional three-number combinations available for assignment as central office codes, effectively extending the life of the 212 area code for approximately five years.

Rapid growth in the demand for telephone numbers continued; this, along with the introduction of cellular phones, pagers, and facsimile machines exacerbated the exhaust of telephone numbers in New York City. By 1984, central office code relief was again needed in New York City. Such relief was provided by dividing the geographic territory previously served by the 212 area code and assigning the Boroughs of Brooklyn, Queens, and Staten Island to a new 718 area code in 1985.

New York City's communications-intensive economy continued to grow at an unprecedented pace during the late 1980's, and additional central office code relief was again needed in New York City. In 1992, the Bronx was transferred from the 212 area code to the 718 area code and a new 917 overlay area code was created for wireless and some wireline services throughout New York City. This plan was developed by a government/industry task force led by staff. It was expected at that time that the central office code relief provided by this action would last at least through 2002 for the 212 area code, and through about 2012 for the 917 area code.

Growth in the demand for central office codes in the 212 and 917 area codes is continuing and has significantly exceeded all previous projections. In 1992, only 14 new central office codes were assigned in the 212 area code. Approximately 30 codes per year were assigned in 1994 and 1995. New York Telephone's latest projection for 1996 is for a total of 60 central office code assignments in the 212 area code. Based on the latest information supplied by New York Telephone, the 212 area code is now considered vulnerable to exhaust as early as the first quarter of 1998 (the "exhaust window" for the 212 area code

second digit had previously been used to distinguish between area codes and central office codes.

#### Case 96-C-XXXX

is expected to be between the first quarter of 1998 and the third quarter of 1999). Central office code assignments in the 917 area code are also significantly exceeding projections, and the 917 area code is now expected to exhaust as soon as the third quarter of 1999 (the "exhaust window" for the 917 area code is currently expected to be between the third quarter of 1999 and the second quarter of the year 2000).

Area code modifications have become increasingly common since 1992. Other major metropolitan areas in the United States (i.e., Los Angeles, Chicago, Philadelphia, Atlanta, Boston, Baltimore, Cleveland, Houston, etc.) have recently experienced similar increases in central office code assignments and have required central office code relief. Several other New York State area codes are also inching toward exhaust as indicated in the following chart:

Numbering Plan Area (NPAl/Area Code Exhaust Ranked By Required Relief Date New York State									
				Central Offic	e Codes in L	ise as of Janua	ary 1996 by Ser	vice Type-	
Area Served	Area Code	Projected Relief Date	Normal	DID/CTX	Pager	CLECs	Cellular	Other	Totai in Use
Manhattan	212	1998	406	174	8	29	Q	46	663
New York City	917	1999	3	22	233	1	83	52	394
Long Island	516	2003	308	48	81	12	55	41	545
Buffalo/Rochester	716	2004	415	7	10	11	23	80	546
Kingston/White Plains	914	2005	328	13	43	6	39	77.	506
Syracuse/Utica	315	2016	255	2	16	12	22	78	385
SQB/Si	718	2018	445	25	11	9	5	47	542
Albany/Plattsburgh	518	2022	254	2	16	8	22	89	391
Singhamton	607	2043	164	0	2	5	13	130	314
		Totals	2,578	293	420	93	262	640	4,286

Note: There are a maximum of 800 central office codes available for use in any area code

DID/CTX = Direct Inward Dial Centrex

CLEC = Competing Local Exchange Carrier

Other = Plant Test, prosected and reserved central office codes

ATTACHMENT C

As of 9/97

#### Public Involvement - Case 96C - 1158

	<u>Date</u>	Number	<u>Remarks</u>
Presentations	3/97 to 7/97	13 events 1000 persons	Comments at these events overwhelmingly favored the overlay since all current customers could retain the 212 area code. However, the Commission was called upon to find a long term solution i.e., 8 digit number or the addition of a few area codes at the same time.
Opinion Line	4/97 to 8/97	131 calls	68 callers favored the overlay, 22 favored the geographic split and 41 offered other recommendations, i.e., assigning the new area code to all faxes and modems, giving one area code to residential customers and the other to business customers.
Exhibits	4/97 and 7/97	2 events	Distributed CSD consumer informationals and answered questions at Getting Down to Business (NYC Office of Business Services) and the Black Expo.
Letters & Resolutions	4/97 to 8/97	27	Correspondents included Chairpersons of five Community Boards, Queens Borough President Claire Shulman, Assemblyman Richard Gottfried and Senator Franz Leichter. Seventeen favored the overlay, 6 favored the split and 4 made other recommendations.
Web, E-Mail	7/97	3	Two made other suggestions and one favored the overlay.
PSH Forums	7/97	6 Forums 60 Persons	An informational forum was held prior to each of the public statement hearings. Staff discussed the issues and options. Eighteen persons made statements at the hearings. The majority favored an overlay.

#### Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matters of	
Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996	CC Docket No. 96-98
Interconnection Between Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers	CC Docket No. 95-185
Area Code Relief Plan for Dallas and Houston, Ordered by the Public Utility Commission of Texas	NSD File No. 96-8
Administration of the North American Numbering Plan	CC Docket No. 92-237
Proposed 708 Relief Plan and 630 ) Numbering Plan Area Code and ) Ameritech-Illinois	IAD File No. 94-102

#### AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

FILED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE

Dated: January 9, 1998 Albany, New York

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matters of	)
Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996	) CC Docket No. 96-98 ) )
Interconnection Between Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers	CC Docket No. 95-185
Area Code Relief Plan for Dallas and Houston, Ordered by the Public Utility Commission of Texas	) NSD File No. 96-8 )
Administration of the North American Numbering Plan	CC Docket No. 92-237
Proposed 708 Relief Plan and 630 Numbering Plan Area Code and Ameritech-Illinois	) IAD File No. 94-102 )

## FILED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE

### AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

ALLAN H. BAUSBACK, being duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. I am the Acting Director of the New York Department of Public Service (NYDPS) Communications Division. I have been employed by the NYDPS since 1965. I oversee telecommunications regulation for the NYDPS and advise the New York Public Service Commission (NYPSC) on telecommunications matters.
- 2. The NYPSC instituted a proceeding to consider the appropriate manner for ensuring an adequate supply of telephone

numbers in New York City (NYPSC Case 96-C-1158). This proceeding generated the information presented in this affidavit.

- 3. It is anticipated that all available central office codes will exhaust in the 212 area code (serving Manhattan) by June 1998, the 718 area code (serving Queens, Brooklyn, Bronx and Staten Island) by early 1999, and the 917 area code (serving primarily wireless customers in New York City) by late 1999. The growth for central office codes in the 212 area code continues unabated. Increased demand may accelerate these dates.
- The implementation of overlay relief plans will provide the longest possible period of area code relief while causing the least possible inconvenience to consumers. Manhattan, the Overlay Relief Plan (Overlay Plan) is expected to provide 6.5 years of relief compared to about 5.0 years provided by the most efficient geographic split plan. Similarly, the Overlay Plan would provide 13.0 years of relief for the 718 NPA versus 10.5 years under the most efficient geographic split. Overlay relief plans are less inconvenient than geographic split plans because forced telephone number or area code changes are not necessary. Avoiding forced telephone number changes will save New York City businesses millions of dollars as they will not have to change advertising, stationery, and vehicle lettering. Residential customers will avoid the inconvenience of notifying friends and relatives of their new telephone numbers and/or area codes.
- 5. The overwhelming majority of the consumers and community groups that either wrote or called the Department of Public Service concerning this issue favored the overlay relief

plans. Similarly, almost all of the speakers that appeared at the seven public hearings held in all five Boroughs of New York City favored the overlay relief plans. Many expressed a strong desire to maintain their current area codes, telephone numbers, and dialing procedures.

- 6. Most of the CLECs indicated that, while their first preference might be to implement geographic splits, they could accept an overlay relief plan if certain conditions designed to foster competition were included. Those conditions are similar to those provided in paragraph 10 below.
- 7. Any new area codes assigned to New York City will become rapidly acceptable to the public and will soon be identified as "New York City" area codes by the general public because the new codes will fill quickly. Indeed, the 646 relief code for Manhattan will probably run out of numbers in only 6.5 years and the 347 relief code for the four outer Boroughs will probably exhaust in 13.0 years.
- 8. There are only three rate centers in Manhattan.
  The CLECs are overwhelmingly interested in only the rate centers that serve Lower and Midtown Manhattan. The CLECs are currently able to obtain central office codes in all three Manhattan rate centers.
- 9. The NYPSC concluded that area code overlays, subject to appropriate pro-competitive conditions, would provide the longest possible area code relief for New York City on a timely basis while causing the least amount of customer disruption (PSC Opinion No. 97-18).

- 10. In order to provide number relief in a competitively equitable manner, the following conditions were imposed by the NYPSC:
  - a. continued enforcement of the antidiscrimination provisions of the central office code assignment guidelines;
  - b. permanent number portability to ensure competitively neutral access to existing number resources;
  - c. implementation of number pooling as soon as technically feasible in order to ensure competitively neutral access to unassigned numbers; and
  - d. a comprehensive outreach and education program.
- 11. Permanent number portability was deployed in several central offices in New York City in November, 1997.

  Number portability is expected to be deployed in all other New York City central offices by March 31, 1998 (See attached deployment schedule).
- environment is a number administration and assignment process which allocates numbering resources to a shared reservoir associated with a designated geographic area (Industry Numbering Committee [INC]: Report on Number Pooling Draft No. 5, Issued September 29, 1997). Number pooling helps create a level playing field. Barring technical constraints, number pooling is expected to be available coincident with permanent number portability.
- 13. There is no evidence that CLECs will disproportionately have to meet number demand by receiving number assignments in the new area code. CLECs are more likely to

experience customer growth by customers changing carriers; and number portability will allow these customers to retain their current telephone numbers. Also, number pooling will ensure that all carriers will have equal access to available numbers in the existing area code regardless of size and timing of market entry.

14. The level of telephone number utilization in Manhattan by New York Telephone Company, the incumbent local exchange company, is approximately 80% -- among the highest in the United States. In contrast, the utilization rate for competitive local exchange companies (CLECs) in Manhattan is broadly estimated at 15%.

15. As of the third quarter of 1997, reports indicate that approximately 750 NXXs were available in the 212 area code of which 705 are currently in use. These reports also indicated that the incumbent LEC had 617 NXX codes assigned to it and the CLECs had 88 NXX codes assigned to them.

WHEREFORE, the Supplemental Petition for Reconsideration of the New York State Department of Public Service should be granted.

ALLAN H. BAUSBACK

Sworn to before me this 9th day of January 1998

Notary Public, State of New York
Commission Expires 8/13/9 \$

# Schedule for Implementation of Number Portability in New York City

	1	<u> </u>
Office	LNP Ready Date	Market Area
Wear 50th St.	Nov. 30, 1997	Manhattan
East 13th St. (2nd Ave.)	Nov. 30, 1997	Manhattan
East 79th St.	Nov. 30, 1997	Greater Metro
Newtown	Nov. 30, 1997	Greater Metro
West Staten Island	Nov. 30, 1997	Greater Metro
Broad Street	Dec. 31, 1997	Manhattan
West 36th St.	Dec. 31, 1997	Manhattan
West 13th St.	Dec. 31, 1997	Manhattan
JFR	Dec. 31; 1997	Greater Metro
Long Island City	Dec. 31, 1997	Greater Metro
West 176th St.	Dec. 31, 1997	Greater Metro
East 97th St.	Dec. 31, 1997	Greater Metro
Forest Hills	Dec. 31, 1997	Greater Metro
Corona	Dec. 31, 1997	Greater Metro
Flushing	Dec. 31, 1997	Greater Metro
Fairview Ave	Dec. 31, 1997	Greater Metro
Cruger Ave.	Dec. 31, 1997	Greater Metro
West 42nd St.	Jan. 30, 1998	Manhattan
West St. (140)	Jan. 30, 1998	Manhattan
East 30th St.	Jan. 30, 1998	Manhattan
West 73rd St.	Jan. 30, 1998	Greater Metro
Williamsburg	Jan. 30, 1998	Greater Metro
Laurelton	Jan. 30, 1998	Greater Metro
Grand Concourse	Jan. 30, 1998	Greater Metro
715t St.	Jan. 30, 1998	Greater Metro

Distoria   Jan. 10, 1998   Greater Metro			
115th Ave. (Ozone Park)   Jan. 30. 1998   Greater Metro	Autoria	Jan. 30, 1998	Greater Metro
### Truthman Ave.    Jan. 30, 1998   Greater Metro	Tiebout Ave.	Jan. 30, 1998	Greater Metro
State	113th Ave. (Ozone Park)	Jan. 30. 1998	Greater Metro
### Feb. 28, 1998   Manhattan	Tratman Ave.	Jan. 30, 1398	Greater Metro
World Trade Center         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Pearl St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           E. 13th St. (2nd Ave.)         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Bridge St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Varick St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Ent. 38th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Entraction Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Convent Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Avenue Y         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Tith St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Jamaica         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           East 167th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Troy Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Sithmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 56th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998	Staten Island New Dorp	Jan. 30, 1998	Greater Metro
Pearl St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           5. 13th St. (2nd Ave.)         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Bridge St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Varick St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           East 38th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Hambattan Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Convent Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Avenue Y         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           77th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Jamaica         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           East 167th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Troy Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           15oth St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan	14) West St. T	Feb. 28, 1998	Manhattan
E. 13th St. (2nd Ave.)  Bridge St.  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  Varick St.  Feb. 28, 1998  Manhattan  East 38th St.  Feb. 28, 1998  Manhattan  East 38th St.  Feb. 28, 1998  Manhattan  Hannattan Ave.  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  Convent Ave.  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  Avenue Y  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  Jamaica  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  Jamaica  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  Jamaica  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  Thayer St.  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  Tray Ave.  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  Tray Ave.  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  14th St.  Feb. 28, 1998  Greater Metro  Mar. 31, 1998  Manhattan  Anhattan  Anhattan  Anhattan  Anhattan  Anhattan  Anhattan  Anhattan  Anhattan  Anhattan  Mar. 31, 1998  Greater Metro  North Staten Island  Mar. 31, 1998  Greater Metro  Mar. 31, 1998  Greater Metro  North Staten Island  Mar. 31, 1998  Greater Metro  Oreater Met	World Trade Center	Feb. 28, 1998	Manhattan
### Bridge St.   Feb. 28, 1998   Greater Metro	Poarl St.	Feb. 28, 1998	Manhattan
Warick St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Bast 38th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Hamhattan Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Convent Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Avenue Y         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           77th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Jamaica         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Bast 167th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Troy Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Sichmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Gr	E. 13th St. (2nd Ave.)	Feb. 28, 1998	Manhattan
### Hanhattan Ave.    Feb. 28, 1998	Bridge St.	Feb. 28, 1998	Greater Metro
Manhattan Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Convent Ave.         Feb. 29, 1998         Greater Metro           Avenue Y         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           77th St.         Feb. 23, 1998         Greater Metro           Jamaica         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           East 167th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Troy Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Sichmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           Albemarle Road         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	Variok St.	Feb. 28, 1998	Manhattan
Convent Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Avenue Y         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           77th St.         Feb. 23, 1998         Greater Metro           Jamaica         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           East 167th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Rockaway Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Troy Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Sichmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           Albemarle Road         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	Hagt 38th St.	Feb. 28, 1998	Manhattan
Avenue Y         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           77th St.         Feb. 23, 1998         Greater Metro           Jamaica         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           East 167th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Rockaway Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Troy Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Eichmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           Albemarle Road         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	Manhattan Ave.	Feb. 28, 1998	Greater Metro
T7th St.         Feb. 23, 1998         Greater Metro           Jamaica         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           East 167th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Rockaway Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Troy Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Eichmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           Albemarle Road         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	Convent Ave.	Feb. 28, 1998	Greater Metro
Jamaica         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           East 167th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Rockaway Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Troy Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Richmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 56th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           Albemarle Road         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	Avenue Y.	Feb. 28, 1998	Greater Metro
East 167th St. Feb. 28, 1998 Greater Metro Thayer St. Feb. 28, 1998 Manhattan Rockaway Ave. Feb. 28, 1998 Greater Metro Troy Ave. Feb. 28, 1998 Greater Metro 14th St. Feb. 28, 1998 Greater Metro Eithmond Hill Feb. 28, 1998 Greater Metro West 50th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Manhattan East 56th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Manhattan East 37th St. (E. 38th St) Mar. 31, 1998 Manhattan Albemarle Road Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro North Staten Island Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro E. 150th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro E. 150th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro E. 150th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro	776h St.	Feb. 23, 1998	Greater Metro
Thayer St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Manhattan           Rockaway Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Troy Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Eichmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 56th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           Albemarle Road         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	Jamaica	Feb. 28, 1998	Greater Metro
Rockaway Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Troy Ave.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Eichmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 56th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	East 167th St.	Feb. 28, 1998	Greater Metro
Troy Ave.         Feb. 38, 1998         Greater Metro           14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Richmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 56th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           Albemarle Road         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	Thayer St.	Feb. 28, 1998	Manhattan
14th St.         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           Eichmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 56th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           Albemarle Road         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	Rockaway Ave.	Feb. 28, 1998	Greater Metro
Eichmond Hill         Feb. 28, 1998         Greater Metro           West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 56th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           Albemarle Road         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	Troy Ave.	Feb. 28, 1998	Greater Metro
West 50th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 56th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           East 37th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)         Mar. 31, 1998         Manhattan           Albemarle Road         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           North Staten Island         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro           E. 150th St.         Mar. 31, 1998         Greater Metro	14th St.	Feb. 28, 1998	Greater Metro
East 56th St.       Mar. 31, 1998       Manhattan         East 37th St.       Mar. 31, 1998       Manhattan         E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)       Mar. 31, 1998       Manhattan         Albemarle Road       Mar. 31, 1998       Greater Metro         North Staten Island       Mar. 31, 1998       Greater Metro         E. 150th St.       Mar. 31, 1998       Greater Metro	Richmond Hill	Feb. 28, 1998	Greater Metro
East 37th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Manhattan  E. 37th St. (E. 38th St) Mar. 31, 1998 Manhattan  Albemarle Road Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro  North Staten Island Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro  E. 150th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro	West 50th St.	Mar. 31, 1998	Manhattan
E. 37th St. (E. 38th St) Mar. 31, 1998 Manhattan Albemarle Road Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro North Staten Island Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro E. 150th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro	East 56th St.	Mar. 31, 1998	Manhattan
Albemarle Road Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro North Staten Island Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro  E. 150th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro	East 37th St.	Mar. 31, 1998	Manhattan
North Staten Island Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro  E. 150th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro	E. 37th St. (E. 38th St)	Mar. 31, 1998	Manhattan
E. 150th St. Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro	Albemarle Road	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
	North Staten Island	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
North Jamaica Mar. 31, 1998 Greater Metro	E. 150th St.	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
	North Jamaica	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro

Afrique R	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
Clinton Ave.	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
Avenue U	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
Menmore Place	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
11th Ave.	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
Liberty Ave.	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
Baytide	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
Avonue I	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
Bushwick Ave.	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
Hollis	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro
South Staten Island	Mar. 31, 1998	Greater Metro

In the Matters of

CC Docket No. 96-98

Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

CC Docket No. 95-185

Interconnection Between Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobil Radio Service Providers

NSD File No. 96-8

Area Code Relief Plan for Dallas and Houston, Ordered by the Public Utility Commission of Texas

CC Docket No. 92-237

Administration of the North American Numbering Plan

IAD File No. 94-102

Proposed 708 Relief Plan and 630 Numbering Plan Area Code and Ameritech-Illinois

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Cheryl L. Callahan, hereby certify that an original and eleven copies of the Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Petition and the Supplemental Petition for Reconsideration, with supporting affidavit, filed by the New York State Department of Public Service was sent by overnight mail to Ms. Galas. Copies were sent by First Class United States Mail, postage prepaid, to all parties on the attached service list,

Cheryl L. Callahan Assistant Counsel

Office of General Counsel NYS Department of Public Service

Three Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223-1350

(518) 474-6513

Dated: January 9, 1998

Albany, New York